

**GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**  
(Formerly Great Bear Uranium Corp.)

**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Dated August 26, 2011

This Management Discussion & Analysis ("MD&A") of Great Bear Resources Ltd. ("Great Bear," or the "Company") is dated August 26, 2011 and provides an analysis of the Company's performance and financial condition for six months ended June 30, 2011.

# **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

This management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are prepared by management on August 26, 2011 for Great Bear Resources Ltd (formerly Great Bear Uranium Corp.) (the "Company") in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Company's unaudited condensed interim financial statements and related notes for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, which were prepared in accordance with IFRS and audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, which were prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

All amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise specified.

Additional information is available on the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

## **Forward-Looking Statements**

This MD&A, which contains certain forward-looking statements, are intended to provide readers with a reasonable basis for assessing the financial performance of the Company. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. The words "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "contemplate", "target", "plan", "intends", "continue", "budget", "estimate", "may", "will", "schedule" and similar expressions identify forward looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by the Company, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, fluctuations in the currency markets such as Canadian dollar and U.S. dollar, fluctuations in the prices of REE and other commodities, changes in government legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic developments in Canada, the United States or other countries in which the Company carries or may carry on business in the future, risks associated with mining or development activities, the speculative nature of exploration and development, including the risk of obtaining necessary licenses and permits, and quantities or grades of reserves. Many of these uncertainties and contingencies can affect the Company's actual results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, the Company. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate and actual results and future events could differ materially from those acknowledged in such statements. Specific reference is made to the "Risk and Uncertainties" section of these MD&A for a discussion of some of the factors underlying forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except to the extent required by applicable laws.

## **OVERVIEW**

### **PRINCIPAL BUSINESS AND CORPORATE HISTORY**

**Great Bear Resources Ltd.** is a publicly traded mineral exploration company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V") under the symbol "GBR". The Company was incorporated under the Company Act (British Columbia) on December 6, 2001. On January 22, 2010, the Company changed its name from Great Bear Uranium Corp. to Great Bear Resources Ltd. Since August 2006, the Company's main business focus has been to acquire and explore mineral properties. To date, Great Bear has not earned any revenues from its mineral property interests and is considered to be in the exploration stage.

#### ***The BA Project***

The BA Project is located 30 km east of Stewart, British Columbia, straddling paved highway 37A on the north flank of Cambria Peak, within the same stratigraphic horizon which hosts the Eskay Creek mine. The host rocks are part of the upper Hazelton Group of the Stikine terrain, which comprises a series of Middle Jurassic bimodal volcanics deposited in a back-arc basin. The zinc-lead-silver mineralization is part of an exhalative volcanogenic massive

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

sulfide (VMS), base and precious metal mineralization system. The property geology is folded into a predictable synclinal-anticlinal fold pattern that facilitates modeling and exploration. The felsic volcanic stratigraphic horizon which hosts the VMS mineralization has been mapped over a strike length of approximately 12 km at the BA Property.

### **Historic Drilling –Mountain Boy Minerals Ltd.**

Between 2006 and 2008, Mountain Boy Minerals Ltd. (“Mountain Boy”) conducted an extensive 89 drill hole program at the BA Project, defining what is known as the “Main” zone. Highlights of this program, taken from Mountain Boy news releases dated May 22, 2008, and September 29, 2009, include drill hole DDH-2007-BA-21 which intersected 3.05 metres returning 241.1 g/t silver, 0.11% lead and 1.24% zinc, and drill hole DDH-2008-BA-28, which intersected two separate high grade silver-lead-zinc intervals returning 13.1 metres of 136.5 g/t silver, 1.37% lead and 4.11% zinc, and 19.82 metres returning 146.81 g/t silver, 1.16% lead and 2.56% zinc.

Mountain Boy defined a largely continuous zone of semi-massive silver-lead-zinc rich mineralization of unknown true thickness with a 600 metre strike length.

### **2010 Drilling and Exploration – Great Bear Resources**

The Company conducted an extensive, 86 hole helicopter-based drill campaign in the summer of 2010, designed to test the true thickness of mineralization in the Main zone, and to extend its boundaries in all directions. Property wide prospecting work was also undertaken, leading to a number of new discoveries to the north, east and west of the Main zone.

Drilling 300 metres north of the Main zone in what is now referred to as the “North Extension”, the Company discovered a silver-lead-zinc mineralized stockwork within previously untested subvolcanic andesite intrusion. Highlights include drill hole BA-2010-136, which returned 136 g/t silver, 0.63% lead and 0.53% zinc over 3.05 metres, 82 g/t silver, 1.06% lead and 1.20% zinc over 12.19 metres, and 62 g/t silver, 0.54% lead and 1.72% zinc over 3.05 metres within a 250+ metre wide VMS mineralized system.

Drilling in the North Extension ultimately defined a contiguous zone of intensely developed stockwork massive sulfide mineralization (the “Stockwork” zone). This new zone is open to the south in the direction of the Main zone, and open to the north in the direction of the Bod zone, with dimensions of approximately 350 by 300 metres.

Prospecting at the George Copper claims on the BA property was also highly successful, resulting in the discovery of multiple gold bearing structures associated with a higher temperature phase of the VMS system that is developed at BA. A chip sample graded 9.09 g/t gold over one metre, with a grab sample from this zone returning a value of 38.50 g/t gold. This discovery will be treated as a separate priority drill target in 2011.

Prospecting on the eastern BA claims identified what is now referred to as the “East” zone. Two grab samples of highly gossanous talus from a well exposed slope returned 51.6 g/t silver, 5 % lead and 2.4 % zinc, and 45.0 g/t silver, 5 % lead and 1.22% zinc respectively. Preliminary mapping suggests the mineralization may be spatially associated with an intrusive body as is observed in the Main zone and North Extension at BA.

A limited six hole reconnaissance drill program was completed at the “Bod” zone, a target located 900 metres to the north of the Stockwork zone within the North Extension. Silver-rich semi-massive sulfide mineralization was intersected over limited widths, with the best results coming from drill hole BA-2010-143 which returned 3.05 metres of 44 g/t silver, 0.51% lead and 1.44% zinc within a wider 21.33 metre interval returning 12.0 g/t silver, 0.14% lead and 0.56% zinc. Results at the Bod zone demonstrated that the silver rich VMS system at BA extends over greater than 2 kilometres from the Main zone to the Bod. Continuity of mineralization between all zones remains to be tested.

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

### **Main Zone Drill Results – System Length Extended and Thickness Enhanced**

The on strike extensions of the Main zone were targeted during the second half of the 2010 exploration season. Several higher grade intercepts were discovered within a larger broadly mineralized massive sulfide system, defining four open mineralized trends. Results included discovery of the thickest, highest grade part of the Main zone mineralization system, with drill hole BA-2010-147 returning 21.97 metres of 83.0 g/t silver, 0.90% lead and 0.60% zinc, which interval included 3.68 metres of 172.0 g/t silver, 3.03% lead and 3.56% zinc.

Drilling across the mineralized horizons, the Company determined that the semi-massive sulfide system in the Main zone generally occurs in two to three horizons that are 3 to 12 metres thick, which are located within a broad, generally 30 to 100 metre thick zone of total silver-lead-zinc mineralization.

Drilling extended the known strike length of mineralization at the Main zone from approximately 600 to over 800 metres, and increased the down dip width of the system by 100 to 200 metres, to roughly 300 to 400 metres depending on location.

### **Surprise Creek – Acquisition Extends Stikine Land Package**

Following on the positive results of the 2006 to 2008 drilling at its nearby 8,000 hectare BA Project, the Company expanded its holdings in the Stewart area. The Surprise Creek property contains the same geological units that host high-grade silver-lead-zinc mineralization at the BA Project and is located less than 6.5 kilometres to the north of the BA claims. The Eskay Creek mine, a Stewart district past producer of +3.2M ounces of gold and +60.6M ounces of silver, is also located within the same upper Hazelton Group rocks of the Stikine terrane.

### **RESOURCE PROPERTIES**

The Company currently has interests in the following mineral properties:

#### **a) BA Project, British Columbia**

By agreement dated January 28, 2010, the Company entered into an Option and Joint Venture Agreement with Mountain Boy Minerals Ltd. (“Mountain Boy”) to acquire up to a 70% interest in the BA and George Copper claims (“BA project”) located in the Skeena mining division, British Columbia. The agreement allows the Company to earn an initial 50% interest in the BA project by paying \$158,000 and by incurring \$5.5 million in exploration expenditures as follows:

- i) \$1,000,000 (incurred) on or before December 31, 2010.
- ii) \$1,250,000 on or before December 31, 2011.
- iii) \$1,250,000 on or before December 31, 2012.
- iv) \$2,000,000 on or before December 31, 2013.

The Company can earn an additional 20% interest (for a total of 70% interest) by completing a bankable feasibility study on or before December 31, 2013.

On the Effective Date (the fifth business day next following approval by regulatory authorities) the Company paid \$7,000 to the Optionor as consideration for the transfer and assignment transferring and assigning the Optionor’s rights and entitlements under the Bond with a British Columbia Government Authority as security for the reclamation of the mineral claims. The security deposit has been returned to the Company.

The Company has paid a Finders’ fee consisting of 275,000 common shares.

#### **b) Surprise Creek Property, British Columbia**

By agreement dated May 17, 2010, the Company entered into an Option Agreement to acquire 100% interest in the Surprise Creek Mineral Claims (the “Claims”), located in the Skeena Mining Division in the province of British Columbia. The property is comprised of 19 mineral claims totaling 7,472 hectares. In order to acquire the Claims, the Company must pay the following:

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

Upon execution of the agreement, the Company paid \$150,000 and issued 200,000 common shares at fair value of \$0.40 per share. The Option Agreement requires the Company to issue common shares of the Company as follows:

- i) Issue 250,000 common shares on or before June 1, 2011 (issued) and;
- ii) Issue 250,000 common shares on or before June 1, 2012.

The Optionor maintains a NSR Royalty equal to 1.0% of the Net Smelter returns upon commencement of commercial production on the property which may be purchased by the Company.

The Company paid a finders' fee of \$47,625.

On October 25, 2010 the Company entered into an Amending Agreement to the Option and Joint Venture Agreement relating to the BA Property.

Under the amendment:

The Company can deliver, on or before December 31, 2013, written notice of their intention to fund the preparation of a feasibility study in respect of the property; and can fund the preparation of a feasibility study on or before December 31, 2015 (previously 2013). In consideration of the above amendment the Company will:

- a) Include the Surprise Creek Property under the terms of the Joint Venture Agreement and acquisition costs for the Surprise Creek Property will be borne entirely by the Company, and will be applied against its earn-in requirement towards the BA Property; and
- b) Any expenditures in excess of the exploration expenses contemplated in the original Option and Joint Venture Agreement but incurred prior to the Optionee electing to exercise the additional option, will be solely for account of the Company.

### c) **Birch Property, British Columbia**

Effective May 31, 2010, the Company completed a Statutory Plan of Arrangement (the "Arrangement") pursuant to the provisions of section 288 of the British Columbia Business Corporations Act.

The arrangement involved the transfer of \$50,000 of the Company's cash and its option on the Birch Property to Mountain Gold Resources Ltd. ("Mountain Gold"), which was formerly a wholly owned subsidiary; it also involved the distribution of Mountain Gold shares to the shareholders of the Company pro-rata accordingly to their holdings of shares of the Company.

As a result, the Company recorded a dividend on plan of arrangement during the period equal to the Company's cost in the Birch Property and \$50,000 cash.

By agreement dated December 17, 2007, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Birch gold-copper Property located in central British Columbia. The property covers approximately 1,700 hectares and is located in the Cariboo Mining Division 60 kilometres northeast of the city of Williams Lake. Upon execution of the agreement, the Company paid \$10,000 and issued 75,000 common shares at a fair value of \$0.21 per share.

The Option Agreement requires the Company to make cash payments, issue common shares of the Company and incur exploration expenditures on the property as follows:

- i) \$10,000 (paid), issue 7,500 common shares (issued) and incurring \$50,000 in exploration expenditures on the property on or before December 20, 2010.
- ii) \$15,000, issue 7,500 common shares and incurring \$100,000 in exploration expenditures on

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

- the property on or before December 20, 2011.
- iii) \$15,000, issue 100,000 common shares and incurring \$100,000 in exploration expenditures on the property on or before December 20, 2012.
- iv) \$30,000, issue 100,000 common shares and incurring \$100,000 in exploration expenditures on the property on or before December 20, 2013.
- i) \$200,000, issue 100,000 common shares and incurring \$200,000 in exploration expenditures on the property on or before December 20, 2014.
- vi) \$300,000, issue 200,000 common shares and incurring \$300,000 in exploration expenditures on the property on or before December 20, 2015.
- vii) \$200,000 and issue 200,000 common shares on or before December 20, 2016.

The Optionor maintains a NSR Royalty equal to 2.5% of the Net Smelter Returns upon commencement of commercial production on the property, 1.5% of which may be purchased by the Company for \$1,000,000.

The Optionor agreed to provide a one year extension to all of the option payments and exploration commitments under the option agreement in exchange for \$15,000 cash payment. The one year extensions have been reflected above.

### d) **IKE Property, Yukon**

On May 25, 2011, the Company entered into an Option Agreement with Argus Metals Corp to earn up to a 75% interest in the IKE Selwyn Basin Gold Project, Hyland District, Yukon.

According to the agreement to acquire a 70% interest in the IKE project the Company must:

pay to Argus \$275,000 in cash payments as follows:

- (i) \$200,000 on or before July 2, 2011 (paid);
- (ii) an additional \$25,000 on or before June 27, 2012;
- (iii) an additional \$25,000 on or before June 27, 2013;
- (iv) an additional \$25,000 on or before June 27, 2014;

issue a total of 700,000 shares as follows:

- (i) 100,000 shares on or before July 2, 2011 (issued);
- (ii) an additional 200,000 shares on or before June 27, 2012;
- (iii) an additional 200,000 shares on or before June 27, 2013;
- (iv) an additional 200,000 shares on or before June 27, 2014;

and incur an aggregate of \$2,050,000 of exploration expenditures on the Property over a 3 year period as follows:

- (i) \$300,000 before June 27, 2012;
- (ii) an additional \$750,000 on or before June 27, 2013;
- (iii) an additional \$1,000,000 on or before June 27, 2014;

A further 5% interest may be earned through completion of a prefeasibility study within 2 years of the initial earn-in.

The IKE Gold Project is located in the Selwyn Basin of the Yukon, an emerging highly prospective gold district characterized by recent major Carlin-type sediment hosted gold discoveries made by ATAC Resources Ltd. (TSX-V: ATC) at the Rau Gold project. Analytical work by Argus in 2010 defined an 80 square kilometer area with discrete areas of coincident anomalous arsenic and gold in regional stream sediment samples. The project area is underlain by carbonate lithologies and an intrusive suite. The phase I exploration program will commence in June and will consist of detailed stream and soil sampling, mapping and trenching to quantify drill targets.

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

The IKE project has the potential to host a sediment-hosted gold system similar to Nevada style gold deposits in the Carlin District. In addition to positive reconnaissance geochemical survey results and favourable rock types, the IKE property also hosts spatially related lead-zinc showings

### e) Etzel Property, Yukon

Subsequent to the period ended June 30, 2011, the Company entered into an Option Agreement with Ansell Capital Corp to acquire 65% interest in the Etzel property located in Tintina Gold Belt, Yukon.

According to the agreement to acquire a 65% interest in the Etzel project the Company must:

pay to Ansell \$500,000 in cash payments as follows:

- (i) \$100,000 on the TSX Venture Exchange approval of the agreement;
- (ii) \$100,000 on that day which is 12 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (iii) \$100,000 on that day which is 24 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (iv) \$100,000 on that day which is 36 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (v) \$100,000 on that day which is 48 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange.

issue a total of 2,500,000 shares as follows:

- (i) 500,000 shares on TSX Venture Exchange approval of the agreement;
- (ii) 500,000 shares on or before 12 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (iii) 500,000 shares on or before 24 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (iv) 500,000 shares on or before 36 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (v) 500,000 shares on or before 48 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange.

and incur an aggregate of \$9,750,000 of exploration expenditures on the Property over a 5 year period as follows:

- (i) \$750,000 within 12 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (ii) \$1,500,000 within 24 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (iii) \$2,000,000 within 36 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (iv) \$2,500,000 within 48 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;
- (v) \$3,000,000 within 60 months following the approval by TSX Venture Exchange;

A finders' fee will be paid subject to regulatory approval.

The Etzel Property comprises 50 mining claims, strategically located in the prolific Tintina Gold belt. The claims are contiguous with, and directly along strike from, Rockhaven Resources Ltd.'s (TSX-V: RK, "Rockhaven") Klaza Property. According to the Rockhaven news release dated July 11, 2011, strong gold-in-soil anomalies which have been successfully drill tested are open along strike towards the Etzel property. The Etzel claims are also contiguous with Ansell's Charlotte Property. The Klaza, Etzel and Charlotte properties are located within a 15 kilometre long, generally 2.5 kilometre wide, northwest to southeast trending gold mineralized trend that includes the past producing Mount Nansen mine.

On the adjacent Charlotte property, gold mineralization is found in northwest trending quartz-sulphide veins, steeply plunging quartz-sulphide rich breccia bodies and east-west trending quartz-vein stockwork zones with locally intensive clay alteration. These zones are spatially related to the central Mount Nansen porphyry complex, and associated Late Cretaceous stocks and dykes. A similar geological setting is interpreted for the Etzel claims.

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

### PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT

Effective May 31, 2010, the Company completed a Statutory Plan of Arrangement pursuant to the provisions of section 288 of the British Columbia Business Corporations Act. The Plan of Arrangement involved the transfer from Great Bear Resources Ltd of \$50,000 cash and its option on the Birch Property. The Company was formerly a wholly owned subsidiary of Great Bear Resources Ltd. The Plan of Arrangement also involved the distribution of shares of the Company to the shareholders of the Great Bear Resources Ltd pro-rata accordingly to their holdings of shares of the Great Bear Resources Ltd.

The results of the proposed Arrangements are summarized as follows:

- i) Each shareholder of the Great Bear Resources Ltd received one-third of a common share of Mountain Gold (to the nearest whole share) for each common share of the Great Bear Resources Ltd owned by such shareholder on the Arrangement Effective Date;
- ii) Each shareholder of the Great Bear Resources Ltd will continue to own one common share of the Great Bear Resources Ltd for each common share of the Great Bear Resources Ltd owned by such shareholder on the Arrangement Effective Date;
- iii) Great Bear Resources Ltd will retain all of its cash and uranium assets except for \$50,000 to be transferred to Mountain Gold as initial working capital, and will continue to carry on the business of exploration and development of silver, lead and zinc prospects;
- iv) Mountain Gold will acquire the Company's interest in the "Birch" gold prospect.

### SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (under IFRS unless otherwise noted) (\$)

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b> Under Canadian GAAP	<b>2008</b> Under Canadian GAAP
Total assets	9,964,858	926,219	2,290,644
Total Liabilities	360,473	380,513	339,174
Write down of resource properties	-	1,841,244	722,102
Other income	16,731	8,394	17,646
Net loss for the year	(2,188,817)	(1,961,068)	(1,163,998)
Loss per share	(0.04)	(0.07)	(0.04)
Dividend on Plan of Arrangement	(150,469)	-	-

By recent eight Quarters (under IFRS unless otherwise noted) (\$)

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>September 30, 2010</b>
Other income	12,043	10,796	5,905	6,016
<b>Major expense items</b>				
Consulting fees	44,500	50,051	21,000	61,500
Management fees	20,407	10,200	37,000	43,500
Professional fees	8,437	6,463	108,285	103,107
Transfer agent and filing fees	21,100	8,079	38,382	16,946
Write-down of resource properties	-	-	-	-
Future Income Tax (provision)	(1,400)	161	-	299,349
Net (loss) income	(120,120)	(107,774)	(4,287)	(581,410)
(Loss) Income per share	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.0001)	(0.01)

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

	<b>June 30, 2010</b>	<b>March 31, 2010</b>	<b>December 31, 2009</b> Under Canadian GAAP	<b>September 30, 2009</b> Under Canadian GAAP
Other income	2,415	2,395	1,486	2,312
<b>Major expense items</b>				
Consulting fees	54,100	40,692	3,000	3,000
Management fees	43,500	11,000	0	(25,500)
Professional fees	24,016	2,376	55,224	(5,014)
Transfer agent and filing fees	8,941	5,289	18,988	2,798
Write-down of resource properties	-	-	1,841,244	-
Future Income Tax (provision)	154,516	-	(1,632)	
Net (loss) income	(931,909)	(671,211)	(1,922,693)	23,915
(Loss) Income per share	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.07)	(0.01)

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

#### **Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010**

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, operating expenses totaled \$249,494 and net loss was \$227,894. The Company had \$1,762,446 in operating expenses and a net loss of \$1,603,120 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The major difference between the period 2011 and 2010 relates to the stock based compensation of \$1,516,591 recorded in 2010. There were no stock options granted in the comparative six month period ended June 30, 2011.

Management fees decreased by \$23,893 from \$54,500 incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period of the current year due to a fewer number of managers involved.

Office and administration expense increased by \$20,748 from \$23,784 incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$44,532 incurred during the same period of the current year. The change is reflective of increased activities of the Company during the current period.

Transfer agent fees increased by \$14,949 from \$14,230 incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 due to the Company becoming listed on OTCQX during the current period.

Professional fees decreased by \$11,492 from \$26,392 incurred during the six ended June 30, 2010 to \$14,900 during the same period of the current year as a result of audit fees recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

\$12,000 was incurred in rent expenditures during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company did not rent an office in 2010. Travel, promotion and shareholder information expenditures reduced by \$17,760 from \$28,190 incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$10,430 incurred during the same period of current year due to decrease in a number of business trips undertaken by the management.

Properties' investigation costs increased by \$8,456 from \$1,265 incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase is mainly due to expensing in the current period previously accrued costs.

Interest income increased from \$4,810 during the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$22,839 compared to same period of the current year.

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

### **Three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010**

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, operating expenses totaled \$130,763 and net loss was \$120,120. The Company had \$1,088,840 in operating expenses and a net loss of \$931,909 for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The major difference between the 2011 and 2010 periods relates to the stock based compensation of \$920,325 recorded in 2010. There were no stock options granted in the comparative three month period ended June 30, 2011.

Management and administration fees decreased by \$23,093 from \$43,500 incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period of the current year due to a fewer number of managers involved.

Professional fees decreased by \$15,579 from \$24,016 incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 to \$8,437 during the same period of the current year as a result of the full year audit fees recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

\$6,000 in rent expenditures was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The Company did not rent an office in 2010. Office and administration expenditures increased from \$16,104 incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$27,091 compared to the same period of the current year. These changes are reflective of increased activities of the Company during the current period.

Transfer agent fees increased by \$12,159 from \$8,941 incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 due to the Company becoming listed on OTCQX during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

Travel, promotion and shareholder information decreased by \$18,201 from \$19,222 incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$1,021 incurred during the same period of the current year as a result of reduced travel and promotional activities.

Consulting fees incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$9,600 compared to the same period of the previous year as a result of a fewer number of consultants hired.

The increase in operational expenditures was partially offset by recording a future income tax recovery provision in the amount of \$154,516 related to flow through expenditures incurred during the 2010 quarter.

Interest income increased from \$2,415 during the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$12,043 compared to same period of the current year.

### **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The Company's working capital was \$3,400,474 as at June 30, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - \$3,859,635).

The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient funds to meet liabilities when due. At June 30, 2011, the Company had current assets of \$3,709,582 (December 31, 2010 - \$4,220,108) to settle current liabilities of \$309,108 (December 31, 2010 - \$360,473). All of the Company's financial liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms.

### **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

Resource exploration and development is a speculative business, characterized by a number of significant risks including, among other things, unprofitable efforts resulting not only from the failure to discover mineral deposits but from finding mineral deposits which, though present, are insufficient in quantity and quality to return a profit from production. The marketability of minerals acquired or discovered by the Company may be affected by numerous factors which are beyond the control of the Company and which cannot be accurately predicted, such as market fluctuations of metal prices, the proximity and capacity of milling facilities, mineral markets, processing reagents and equipment, and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

production, importing and exporting of minerals, and environment protection, the combination of which factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on investment capital.

### **Exploration and Development Efforts May Not Be Successful**

There is no certainty that the expenditures to be made by the Company in the exploration of its properties as described herein will result in the discovery of mineralized material in commercial quantities. Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable ore deposits and no assurance can be given that any particular level of recovery of ore reserves will in fact be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body which can be legally and economically exploited. Estimates of reserves, mineral deposits and production costs can also be affected by such factors as environmental permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. In addition, the grade of ore ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results. Short term factors relating to ore reserves, such as the need for orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades, may also have an adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small scale tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale. Material changes in ore reserves, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of any project.

### **Lack of Cash Flow**

None of the Company's properties have advanced to the commercial production stage and the Company has no history of earnings or cash flow from operations. The Company does not expect to generate material revenue from mining operations or to achieve self-sustaining commercial mining operations for several years. The Company has paid no dividends on its shares since inception and does not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. Historically, the only source of funds available to the Company is through the sale of its securities. Future additional equity financing would cause dilution to current shareholders.

### **No Proven Reserves**

The properties in which the Company has an interest or the right to earn an interest are in the exploratory stage only and are without a known body of ore in commercial production.

### **No Guarantee of Clear Title to Mineral Properties**

While the Company has investigated title to all of its mineral properties and, to the best of its knowledge, title to all of its properties and properties in which it has the right to acquire or earn an interest are in good standing, this should not be construed as a guarantee of title. The properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects.

### **Uncertainty of Obtaining Additional Funding Requirements**

Programs planned by the Company may necessitate additional funding, which could cause a dilution of the value of the investment of the shareholders of the Company. The recuperation value of mining properties indicated in the balance sheet depends on the discovery of mineralization that can be profitably exploited and on the Company's capacity to obtain additional funds in order to realize these programs. The Company's exploration activities can therefore be interrupted at any moment if the Company is incapable of obtaining the necessary funds in order to continue any additional activities that are necessary and that are not described in the exploration programs outlined in the Company's geological report for its properties.

### **Mineral Prices May Not Support Corporate Profit**

The mining industry in general is intensely competitive and there is no assurance that, even if commercial quantities of mineral resources are developed, a profitable market will exist for the sale of same. Factors beyond the control of

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The price of minerals is volatile over short periods of time, and is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates and global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining techniques.

### **Competition**

The mining industry is intensively competitive in all its phases. The Company competes with many companies possessing greater financial resources and technical facilities than itself for the acquisition of mineral interests as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees.

### **Environmental Regulations**

The current and future operations of the Company, including further exploration, development activities and commencement of production on its properties, require permits from various Provincial, Federal and State governmental authorities. Such operations are subject to various laws governing land use, the protection of the environment, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances mine safety and other matters. There can be no assurance, however, that all permits which the Company may require for construction of mining facilities and conduct of mining operations will be obtainable on reasonable terms. Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties. Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violation of applicable laws or regulations.

### **Environmental Impact**

The Company does not believe that there are any significant environmental obligations requiring material capital outlays in the immediate future and anticipates that such obligations will only arise when full scale development commences. As the Company's project is still in the exploration and development stage and no significant environmental impact has occurred to date, the Company does not currently consider that expenditures required meeting any ongoing environmental obligations at the projects material to its results or to financial condition to the Company at this time. However, these costs may become material in the future and will be reported in the Company's filings at that time.

### **Uncertainty of Reserves and Mineralization Estimates**

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating proven and probable reserves and mineralization, including many factors beyond the control of the Company. The estimation of reserves and mineralization is a subjective process and the accuracy of any such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may justify revision of such estimates. No assurances can be given that the volume and grade of reserves recovered and rates of production will not be less than anticipated. Assumptions about prices are subject to greater uncertainty and metal prices have fluctuated widely in the past.

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

Declines in the market price of base or precious metals also may render reserves or mineralization containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit. Changes in operating and capital costs and other factors including, but not limited to, short-term operating factors such as the need for sequential development of ore bodies and the processing of new or different ore grades, may materially and adversely affect reserves.

### **Operating Hazards and Risks Associated with the Mining Industry**

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk, which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. Hazards such as unusual or unexpected formations and other conditions are involved. Operations in which the Company has a direct or indirect interest will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration, development and production of precious and base metals, any of which could result in work stoppages, damage to or destruction of mines and other producing facilities, damage to life and property, environmental damage and possible legal liability for any or all damage. The Company may become subject to liability for caveins and other hazards for which it cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure where premium costs are disproportionate to the Company's perception of the relevant risks. The payment of such insurance premiums and of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration activities.

### **The Ability to Manage Growth**

Should the Company be successful in its efforts to develop its mineral properties or to raise capital for other mining ventures it will experience significant growth in operations. If this occurs management anticipated that additional expansion will be required in order to continue development. Any expansion of the Company's business would place further demands on its management, operational capacity and financial resources. The failure to manage growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Lack of Dividend Policy**

The Company does not presently intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future, as any earnings are expected to be retained for use in developing and expanding its business. However, the actual amount of dividends received from the Company will remain subject to the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors and will depend on results of operations, cash requirements and future prospects of the Company and other factors.

### **Possible Dilution to Present and Prospective Shareholders**

The Company's plan of operation, in part, contemplates the accomplishment of business negotiations by the issuance of cash, securities of the Company, or a combination of the two, and possibly, incurring debt. Any transaction involving the issuance of previously authorized but unissued common shares, or securities convertible into common shares, would result in dilution, possibly substantial, to present and prospective holders of common shares.

### **Dependence of Key Personnel**

The Company strongly depends on the business and technical expertise of its management and key personnel. There is little possibility that this dependence will decrease in the near term. As the Company's operations expand, additional general management resources will be required, especially since the Company encounters risks that are inherent in doing business in several countries.

### **Conflict of Interest**

Certain directors and officers of the Company are also directors, officers or shareholders of other companies that are similarly engaged in the business of acquiring, developing and exploiting natural resource properties. Such associations may give rise to conflicts of interest from time to time. The directors of the Company are required by law to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and to disclose any interest which they may have in any project or opportunity of the Company. If a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the Board

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

of Directors, any director in a conflict will disclose his interest and abstain from voting on such matter. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in any project or opportunity, the directors will primarily consider the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time.

### Lack of Trading

The lack of trading volume of the Company's shares reduces the liquidity of an investment in the Company's shares.

### Volatility of Share Price

Market prices for shares of early stage companies are often volatile. Factors such as announcements of mineral discoveries, financial results, and other factors could have a significant effect on the price of the Company's shares.

### OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

Issued and outstanding common shares:

	Number	Amount
Balance as at December 31, 2009	40,549,652	\$ 4,180,627
Shares issued for resource properties	200,000	80,000
Shares issued for finders' fees related to resource property	275,000	82,500
Subscription received	-	599,000
Stock options exercised	1,470,000	361,000
Warrants issued for finders' fees	-	(350,147)
Flow through shares issued for cash	3,000,000	1,650,000
Common Shares issued for cash, net of share issue costs	13,000,000	6,707,440
<b>Balance as at December 31, 2010</b>	<b>58,494,652</b>	<b>\$ 13,310,420</b>
Shares issued for resource properties	350,000	66,500
Shares issued for finders' fees related to resource property	150,000	28,500
<b>Balance as at June 30, 2011 and August 26, 2011</b>	<b>58,994,652</b>	<b>\$ 13,405,420</b>

### STOCK OPTIONS

The Company has adopted an incentive stock option plan (the "Option Plan") dated September 30, 2010 which provides that the Board of Directors of the Company may from time to time, in its discretion, and in accordance with TSX-V requirements, grant to directors, officers, employees and consultants to the Company, non-transferable options to purchase common shares. Included in the Option Plan are provisions that provide that the number of common shares reserved for issuance will not exceed 10% of the then issued and outstanding common shares of the Company. At the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Company, options granted under the Option Plan can have a maximum exercise term of 10 years from the date of grant. Vesting terms are determined at the time of grant by the Board of Directors.

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

The following is the summary of the Company's stock option activity:

	Number of Options	Exercise Price Per Share Range	Weighted Av. Exercise Price per Share
Balance as at December 31, 2008	2,790,000	\$0.20 - \$0.25	\$ 0.24
Cancelled	(400,000)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20
Balance as at December 31, 2009	2,390,000	\$0.20 - \$0.25	\$ 0.24
Granted	4,720,000	\$0.36 - \$0.63	\$ 0.48
Cancelled	(640,000)	\$0.25 - \$0.55	\$ 0.38
Exercised	(1,470,000)	\$0.20 - \$0.42	\$ 0.25
Balance as at December 31, 2010	5,000,000	\$0.25 - \$0.63	\$ 0.45
Cancelled	(950,000)	\$0.47 - \$0.55	\$ 0.53
Balance as at June 30, 2011	4,050,000	\$0.25 - \$0.63	\$ 0.43
Granted	1,225,000	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.30
<b>Balance as at August 26, 2011</b>	<b>5,275,000</b>	<b>\$0.25 - \$0.63</b>	<b>\$ 0.40</b>

As at August 26, 2011 the following stock options are outstanding and exercisable:

Number of options outstanding	Number of options exercisable	Exercise price	Expiry date
730,000	730,000	\$ 0.25	June 21, 2012
575,000	575,000	\$ 0.36	January 22, 2015
150,000	150,000	\$ 0.42	January 22, 2015
70,000	70,000	\$ 0.42	January 25, 2015
150,000	150,000	\$ 0.63	March 16, 2015
200,000	200,000	\$ 0.55	April 23, 2012
325,000	325,000	\$ 0.55	April 23, 2015
350,000	350,000	\$ 0.59	May 4, 2012
250,000	250,000	\$ 0.59	May 4, 2015
325,000	325,000	\$ 0.46	July 22, 2015
925,000	912,500	\$ 0.40	December 6, 2015
1,225,000	1,187,500	\$ 0.30	July 13, 2016
<b>5,275,000</b>	<b>5,225,000</b>		

### COMMITMENTS

a) Plan of Arrangement - Madalena Ventures Inc.

On November 15, 2004, Madalena declared a dividend in specie of 2,970,640 common shares without par value in the capital of Planet Exploration Inc. ("Planet"). Each Madalena shareholder as of November 15, 2004 became entitled to receive 0.675 Planet shares for each common share held subject to the fulfillment by that shareholder of certain conditions. A Madalena shareholder does not become unconditionally entitled to receive the portion of the dividend of Planet shares until such shareholder has fulfilled the conditions precedent applicable to that shareholder. Conditions precedent includes the fulfillment of certain conditions such as the jurisdiction of residence of such shareholders.

On November 15, 2004, Madalena set aside Planet shares to permit its obligations to each shareholder in respect of the dividend as and when applicable to the conditions precedent satisfied by such shareholder.

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

The commitment was transferred to the Company as part of the Plan of Arrangement with Madalena. As at December 31, 2010, the Company held 962,861 Planet shares.

b) Plan of Arrangement - Mountain Gold Resources Ltd.

By Arrangement Agreement dated May 15, 2008 the Company proposed a statutory arrangement (the "Arrangement") to its shareholders.

The results of the proposed Arrangement are summarized as follows:

- i) each shareholder of the Company will receive one-third of a common share of Mountain Gold (to the nearest whole share) for each common share of the Company owned by such shareholder on the Arrangement Effective Date;
- ii) each shareholder of the Company will continue to own one common share of the Company for each common share of the Company owned by such shareholder on the Arrangement Effective Date;
- iii) the Company will retain all of its cash, except for \$50,000 to be transferred to Mountain Gold as initial working capital, and will continue to carry on the business of exploration and development of silver, lead and zinc prospects;
- iv) Mountain Gold will acquire the Company's interest in the "Birch" gold prospect.

Mountain Gold is a "private issuer", as that term is defined in the Securities Act (B.C.). As a result of its participation in the Arrangement, Mountain Gold will become a "reporting issuer", as that term is defined in the Securities Act (B.C.), but will not be listed on any stock exchange. It is management's intention that Mountain Gold will apply to have its common shares quoted on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX.V"), subject to acceptance by TSX.V. There is currently no market through which securities of Mountain Gold can be sold, either before or after the Arrangement Effective Date, and there can be no assurance that any such market will ever develop. There can be no assurance that Mountain Gold will ever become listed on any stock exchange or become eligible for trading through the TSX.V or CNSX or "over-the-counter" on any automated quotation service.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Plan of Arrangement was approved by special resolution of the shareholders and by the Supreme Court of British Columbia at a fairness hearing.

The Arrangement became effective May 31, 2010 and the above transactions were completed.

### RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Related party transactions are with directors and companies controlled by directors and officers of the Company.

The following summarizes the Company's related party transactions:

	<i>June 30, 2011</i>	<i>June 30, 2010</i>
Management fees paid to companies controlled by directors of the Company	\$ _____ -	\$ _____ 54,500
Deferred exploration costs paid to a company controlled by a director and officer of the Company	\$ _____ 6,000	\$ _____ 17,000
Deferred exploration costs paid to a company with a director in common	\$ _____ 16,313	\$ _____ -
Management fees paid to companies controlled		

## GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.

### Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

by directors and officers of the Company	\$ 25,200	\$ -
Management fees paid to a company with a director in common	\$ 5,407	\$ -
Consulting fees paid to a company with a director in common	\$ 21,808	\$ -
Office and administration paid to a company with a director in common	\$ 44,465	\$ -
Asset usage accrued to a company with a director in common	\$ 2,863	\$ -
Professional fees paid to a company with a director in common	\$ 497	\$ -
Promotion and shareholder information included in prepaid paid to a company with a director in common	\$ 7,822	\$ -

These transactions are in the normal course of operations and are measured at the exchange amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities include \$13,691 (December 31, 2010 - \$Nil) due to a company with a director in common.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities include \$6,720 (December 31, 2010 - \$8,682) due to a director and officer of the Company.

Current receivables include \$2,388 due from a company with a director in common.

Receivables include \$8,248 (December 31, 2010 - \$8,248) due from a director and officer of the Company.

#### **OFF- BALANCE SHEET TRANSACTIONS**

The Company has not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### **CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

##### ***Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS")***

The Company's condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard ("IAS") 34 - "Interim Financial Reporting". The condensed consolidated interim financial statements do not include all of the information required for full annual financial statements.

An explanation of how the transition to IFRS has affected the reported financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the Company is provided in note 15 of the condensed consolidated interim financial statements. This note includes reconciliations of equity and total comprehensive income for comparative periods and of equity at the date of transition reported under Canadian GAAP to those reported for those periods and at the date of transition under IFRS, along with details of the IFRS 1 exemptions taken. The adoption of IFRS does not impact the underlying economics of the Company's operations or cash flows.

On April 23, 2010, the Company issued 3,000,000 flow through common shares at \$0.70 per share for total gross proceeds of \$2,100,000 by way of a private placement. The Company has adopted a policy, Note 3(i), whereby the premium in the amount of \$450,000 paid for flow-through shares in excess of the market value of the shares without the flow-through features at the time of issue was credited to a premium liability account.

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

During the year ended December 31, 2010, when qualifying expenditures were incurred, the Company recognized \$525,000 in deferred tax expense with corresponding credit to other liabilities. Upon renunciation of the qualified expenditures in December 2010, the other liability, in the amount of \$525,000 was recognized as a deferred tax recovery.

The Company reverses the premium liability for the flow-through shares to income, on a proportionate basis, as an offset to deferred tax expense. As at December 31, 2010 all qualified expenditures related to this flow through financing were incurred, accordingly the full amount of the premium liability was offset to deferred tax expense.

### **FUTURE ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

Certain new accounting standards and interpretations have been published that are not mandatory for the March 31, 2011 reporting period. The following standards are assessed not to have any impact on the Company's financial statements:

- Amendments to IFRS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosure (effective July 1, 2011) introduces enhanced disclosure around transfer of financial assets and associated risks; and
- IFRS 9, Financial Instruments (effective January 1, 2013) introduces new requirements for the classification and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities.

These accounting standards are not expected to have a significant effect on the Company's accounting policies or financial statements.

### **FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, short-term investments, amounts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related to risks. The Board approves and monitors the risk management processes:

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company is not exposed to any significant credit risk on its financial assets. Cash and cash equivalents consisting of Guaranteed Investment Certificates ("GICs") have been invested with Schedule 1 banks or equivalents, with its cash held in Canadian based banking institutions, authorized under the Bank Act to accept deposits, which may be eligible for deposit insurance provided by the Canadian Deposit Insurance Corporation. The receivables consist primarily of goods and services tax recoverable of \$23,621.

#### **Liquidity Risk**

The Company's approach to managing liquidity is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to settle obligations and liabilities when due. As at June 30, 2011, the Company had cash and short-term investments of \$3,541,963 to settle current liabilities of \$309,108 which mainly consist of accounts payable that are considered short term and settled within 30 days. The Company has sufficient capital to meet its requirements through the end of its fiscal year.

#### **Market risk**

##### **(i) Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The Company's short-term investments are invested in GICs with greater than 90 day terms but not greater than one year. These GICs have a fixed interest rate for the term of the deposit. The interest on GICs is typical of Canadian banking rates, which are low at present

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

and the conservative investment strategy mitigates the risk of deterioration to the investment. A change of 100 basis points in the interest rates would not be material to the financial statements.

### (ii) Commodity Price Risk

Commodity price risk is the risk of financial loss resulting from movements in the price of the Company's commodity inputs and outputs. The Company's risk relates primarily to the expected output to be produced at its mineral properties described in note 9 to its consolidated financial statements of which production is not expected in the near future.

## **CAPITAL MANAGEMENT**

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it, based on the funds available to the Company, in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of resource properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management to sustain future development of the business.

The Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional funds as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties if it feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate available or committed financial resources to complete such acquisitions.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the size of the Company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

## **SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

Subsequent to the period ended June 30, 2011, the Company entered into an Option Agreement with Ansell Capital Corp to acquire a 65% interest in the Etzel Project located in Tintina Gold belt, Yukon. Details of the agreement are disclosed in the Resource Properties, note (e) of this MD&A.

Subsequent to the period ended June 30, 2011, the Company granted incentive stock options to directors, officers and consultants of the Company to purchase up to 1,225,000 shares in the capital of the Company. The stock options are exercisable at \$0.30 per share, exercisable up to July 13, 2016.

## **DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures used for the financial statements and MD&A as at June 30, 2011. Management has concluded that the disclosure controls are effective in ensuring that all material information required to be filed has been made known to them in a timely manner. The required information was effectively recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period necessary to prepare the annual filings. The disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed pursuant to applicable securities laws are accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, believe that any disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all

## **GREAT BEAR RESOURCES LTD.**

Management Discussion & Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operation  
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

---

control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override to the future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

Additional disclosures pertaining to the Company's material change reports, press releases and other information are available on the SEDAR website at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)